



REPUBLIKA NG FILIPINAS

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

KAGAWARAN NG EDUKASYON, KULTURA AT SPORTS
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, CULTURE AND SPORTS

DECS, Quezon, Morato Avenue,
Philippines



Santos-Santos
as DECS

Taunggapan ng Kalihim
Office of the Secretary

October 13, 1997

DECS ORDER
No. 78, s. 1997

**GUIDELINES FOR ELECTION-RELATED ADMINISTRATIVE
COMPLAINTS**

To: The Undersecretary and
Assistant Secretary for Legal Affairs
The Chief of Attorneys of the
DECS Legal Division
The Regional Directors
The Division Superintendents

1. In his policy statement made early in 1992, the DECS Secretary authorized that all election-related offenses or violations allegedly committed by DECS official or employee while in the performance of their election duties as deputized COMELEC officials shall be investigated immediately in his capacity as the ultimate disciplinary authority of the DSEB through a dedicated Investigative Committee on Election-Related Cases and in full accordance with minimum such sanctions or penalties provided by law (see Acts 17(b) and 75, Frank IV, Chap. 2, Rev. Admin. Code of 1987, sections 37 to 43 P.D. 807).

2. It has been observed, however, that after each election, whether for national, local, Sanggunian, Kabataan or barangay officials, many administrative complaints of election-related offenses or violations were filed with this Office against DECS officials and employees for dereliction of duty, accusing the former for incompetence, dishonesty, misconduct, corrupt practices, etc., in the performance of their deputized election duties, involving registration of voters, shilling of precincts, voting procedures, misappropriation and felonious computation in the counting of ballots and votes therein; and many other irregularities for example, all of verified complaints by reason of the allegations therein, are readily recognizable as regulatory, jurisdictional, usurpation and nuisance complaints.

3. Nevertheless, the authority to conduct preliminary investigations and/or to resolve administrative complaints of election-related offenses or violations against any DECS official or employee is not the sole prerogative of the DECS Secretary, but likewise the Constitution and other pertinent laws confer concurrent jurisdiction upon the Constitution and the COMELEC.

4. Pursuant to subhills B, Sections 2 and 3, Title II, Book V of the Rev. Admin. Code of 1986 and Rep. Act. 6770, the Ombudsman has the concurrent authority and jurisdiction to try and resolve administrative "complaints" against government officials, "in any form or manner" which includes election-related offenses or violations, and its decision, which is appealable only by certiorari to the Supreme Court, shall be enforced after said decision shall have become final and executory by the government agencies or offices concerned to which the respondent official or employee belongs.

However, if the Ombudsman considers the administrative complaints as falling within the exemptions from its assuming jurisdiction and declines either to dismiss the administrative complaint or to turn over the same to the DECS (see Section of Rep. Act No. 6770), then the DECS shall take cognizance of such administrative complaints of election-related offenses or violations only if filed separately or timely before the DECS which shall proceed to investigate and resolve the said case pursuant to law and the procedure outlined in these Guidelines.

5. Under the Constitution and the election laws, which have broadened the powers and functions of the COMELEC as the controller and administrator of elections, and pursuant to the ruling of the Supreme Court in the case of *Park vs. COMELEC*, C.R.P. No. 11209; October 4, 1991 (337 SCRA 253), the COMELEC has likewise the authority and jurisdiction to conduct preliminary investigation of all election-related offenses or violations allegedly committed by any DECS official or employee and, if a probable cause is established from such preliminary investigations, the COMELEC shall turn over to the competent authority, the DECS Secretary for instance, to take appropriate action against the respondent DECS official or employee in accordance with law.

6. Henceforth, all administrative complaints of election-related offenses or violations filed or pending with this Office shall be subject to the following conditions and/or actions:

- a) All such complaints filed with this Office, DECS Regional Offices and Division Offices shall be countersigned or verified before a notary public or any authorized designating officer.
- b) Proof of service of a copy of such complaint upon the respondent DECS official or employee shall be submitted or indicated/attached to the last page of the said complaint.
- c) All such complaints shall contain a certification under oath that complainant has not filed any complaint or c. inaction of any proceeding involving the same cause of action in respect before any court or quasi-judicial tribunal or that such complaint or proceeding is pending or has been reached by the court or quasi-judicial tribunal.

Failure to comply with any of the above-requisites shall be a ground for summary dismissal of the administrative complaint, e.g., non-compliance with requisite Par. (a), pursuant to CSC Resolution No. 053090 issued on May 9, 1995, requisite Par. (b), for lack of initial administrative jurisdiction; and requisite Par. (c), for violation of document-copying prohibition.

7. If a similar complaint involving election-related offenses or violations against a DECS official or employee has been filed or pending before the Ombudsman and/or the COMPLAINT, the administrative complaint for the same cause of action against the same DECS official or employee as filed and/or pending with this Office shall be summarily dismissed without prejudice to refile the same with this Office after the Ombudsman or the COMPLAINT shall have resolved the aforesaid similar administrative complaint before them.

8. If the Ombudsman shall have rendered a decision or resolution finding the respondent DECS official or employee guilty of the election-related offense or violation, and imposing the appropriate penalty of either fine, reprimand, suspension or dismissal, the PDCO upon receipt of the aforesaid copy of the

Ombudsman's Decision or Resolution, shall serve or furnish a copy thereof upon the respondent DLCS official or employee, requiring said respondent to comment thereon.

If no subsequent action shall have been taken by the respondent DLCS official or employee by filing a petition for review of the said Decision with the Supreme Court, and the said Ombudsman's Decision or Resolution shall have become final and executory, the DLCS shall proceed to implement and enforce the same as directed by law (see Sections 13(5) and 21; Rep. Act No. 6770).

9. If the COMELEC shall have rendered its Resolution on the complaint for election-related offense or violation, finding probable cause against the respondent DLCS official or employee, the DLCS, upon receipt of the copy of the COMELEC's Resolution, which is purely recommendations in nature, shall forthwith file the Formal Complaint against the said DLCS official or employee, for the commission of the election-related offense or violation as constitutive of the administrative offense of Grave Misconduct under Conduct Prudentia to the Best Interest of the Service, and thereafter serve or furnish the respondent DLCS official or employee with copies of the Formal Complaint and the COMELEC's Resolution, requiring the said respondent to comment on the said Formal Complaint and COMELEC's Resolution within ten (10) days from receipt thereof.

After the respondent DLCS official or employee shall have filed his Answer/Comment to the Formal Complaint and the COMELEC's Resolution or no such Answer/Comment shall have been filed within the aforementioned period, the DLCS through the designated Investigating Committee for Election Related Cases, shall proceed to investigate the administrative case and after receipt of the Report of the said Committee, shall render its judgment or decision, either his conviction or exonerations in accordance with the evidence and the law.

10. All DLCS Regional Directors and Division Superintendents are directed to observe strictly the above guidelines in expeditiously investigating and resolving the administrative complaints of election-related offenses or violations filed before their Offices.

In all such administrative cases, they shall render a complete decision or resolution, stating the facts thereof, findings and conclusions, either adopting the findings or conclusions in the Report of the designated regional or division investigating Committee or by making his own findings and conclusions on the basis of his own separate appreciation of the evidence on record and those

therein, the records of the election-related administrative case, together with the original copy of the Report of the Investigating Committee and the Decision or Resolution of the Registered Director of the Division Superintendent, shall be forwarded to DCS office within ten (10) days after rendition of their Decision or Resolution; for the review and action of the DCS Secretary, if it so warrants pursuant to law.

STRICT COMPLIANCE with these Guidelines is expeditiously enjoined.

ISSUED this 29th day of September, 1997 at the DCS Central Office, Pasig City, Metro Manila.


RICHARD G. GARCIA
Secretary

Reference:

DCS Memorandum No. 360, s. 1997

Allotment: 1 - (D.O. 50-97)

To be indicated in the Perpetual Index
under the following subjects:

ELECTIONS
LEGISLATIONS
OFFICIALS
RULES & REGULATIONS
TEACHERS