

## Republic of the Philippines

# Department of Education

DepEd Complex, Meralco Avenue, Pasig City



JAN 2 1 2010

DepEd MEMORANDUM No. 28, s. 2010

## 2010 NATIONAL LITERACY AWARDS (NLA)

To: Regional Directors
Schools Division/City Superintendents
Heads, Public and Private Elementary and Secondary Schools

- 1. The Department of Education (DepEd) and the Literacy Coordinating Council (LCC) will conduct the 2010 National Literacy Awards (NLA).
- 2. The search for the National Literacy Awards aims to:
  - a. contribute to the realization of the goal of universalizing literacy in the Philippines by creating public awareness of and interest in the programs and projects addressing this concern;
  - b. sustain, expand and institutionalize literacy efforts by motivating and recognizing individuals, institutions and local government units (LGUs) through awards and appropriate recognition;
  - c. e ncourage the development and replication of innovative, creative and indigenous literacy programs; and
  - d. bestow honor and recognition to outstanding individuals, government and non-government organizations (NGOs) for their dedication, commitment and contribution to the universalization of literacy in their respective communities.
- 3. Outstanding literacy programs and local government units with literacy program/s responsive to community needs shall be chosen. Enclosed are the procedures and guidelines of the selection process, evaluation criteria and the timetable of activities.
- 4. Nomination/s and selection of regional winners shall be conducted not later than March 26 to April 26, 2010. Announcement will be on April 29 and must be submitted to the LCC Secretariat on or before May 6, 2010. Any entry not received on said date will be disqualified from the short listing process.
- 5. Nominees should submit documents strictly in accordance with the following specifications:
  - A clear, simple, straight forward and understandable Executive Summary of not more than five (5) pages: A-4 bond size, doublespaced, describing the nominated program or LGU based on the set criteria;
  - Three (3) copies of the nominee's folio/entry of not more than fifty (50) pages, including photographs (size: 3" x 5", not to exceed 20 pieces; video documentation is not encouraged); A-4 bond, double-spaced containing only related documents and data in



accordance with the category and criteria but limited to those in effect within two (2) years prior to the date of entry;

• Electronic copy of nominee's folio/entry including photographs for uploading in the LCC website (http://:lcc.deped.gov.ph);

 Individual score sheets of RSC members duly signed by the rater and countersigned by the RSC Chairperson; and

 Brief but complete documentation of all stages of the selection process signed by the Coordinator/Secretary and countersigned by the Chairperson.

- 6. The DepEd Regional Directors and Chiefs of Alternative Learning System (ALS) who are designated members of the Regional Steering Committee are enjoined to spearhead the nomination/selection process at the regional level. They are advised to apply the revised set of criteria and guidelines for selection and follow the new schedule provided. They are expected to submit to the LCC Secretariat a brief report on how the regional nominees were selected together with the list of nominees.
- 7. The Schools Division Superintendent, Division ALS Supervisors and District Coordinators are enjoined to identify NGOs and LGUs implementing promising literacy programs and encourage them to join the said Awards.
- 8. The Bureau of Alternative Learning System (BALS) and its various divisions are directed to extend full support in the implementation of the 2010 National Literacy Awards.
- 9. For further inquiries, please contact Dr. Norma L. Salcedo, Head of the Literacy Coordinating Council Secretariat, Rm. 414, 4th Floor, Mabini Building, Department of Education (DepEd), Meralco Avenue, Pasig City or contact tel. nos.: (02) 631-0567 or (02) 635-9996; telefax nos.: (02) 631-0590/(02) 631-0579 and mobile phone no.: 0920-3274-230.
- 10. Immediate and wide dissemination of this Memorandum is desired.

JESLI A. LAPUS Secretary

Encls.: As stated

Reference:

DepEd Memorandum: No. 67, s. 2009

Allotment: 1--(D.O. 50-97)

To be indicated in the <u>Perpetual Index</u> under the following subjects:

ALTERNATIVE LEARNING SYSTEM BOARD or CCOUNCIL SEARCH

R-Maricar/DM-2010Literacy Awards 01-14-10

## LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL NATIONAL LITERACY AWARDS

In support of the National Government's commitment to achieve the United Nations goal of reducing adult illiteracy by 50% in 2015 and consequently improve the quality of life of Filipinos, the Department of Education (DepED) and the Literacy Coordinating Council (LCC) mobilize all sectors of society, government agencies, non-government organizations, people's organizations, local government units, and the entire civil society to promote and disseminate the importance of literacy to national progress and consequently inspire them to develop, implement and sustain literacy programs/projects throughout the country.

It has been demonstrated that literacy programs/projects can help alleviate poverty, provide livelihood, address social welfare needs, promote freedom and make education facilities more accessible. It is likewise believed that through them, closer coordination among agencies can be developed and continuous capability building for literacy workers can be achieved.

Numerous stakeholders and advocates of literacy and continuing education presently conduct literacy projects nationwide to address our present problem of illiteracy.

In spite of difficulties such as poor compensation, hampered mobility due to géographical and peace and order problems, inadequacy of teaching materials, to name a few, the commitment and dedication of these workers and institutions have not dimmed even slightly.

These literacy workers and organization/institutions truly deserve special recognition for their devotion and dedication towards the campaign against illiteracy.

In its desire to recognize their significant contribution and bestow honor on these literacy workers, the LCC launched the National Literacy Awards (NLA) in 1994.

The NLA was held in abeyance in 2006-2007 to allow the conduct of its process and impact evaluation. Based on the favorable result of the evaluation and the researcher's recommendation, the NLA resumed in 2008. The awards will be conducted every other year to give enough time for the stakeholders, especially from the Local Government Units, to develop and implement literacy programs and projects in their respective communities.

### **AWARDS OBJECTIVES**

- contribute to the realization of the goal of universalizing literacy in the Philippines by creating public awareness of and interest in the programs and projects addressing this concern;
- sustain, expand and institutionalize literacy efforts by motivating and recognizing individuals, institutions and local government units through awards and appropriate recognition;

- encourage the development and replication of innovative, creative and indigenous literacy programs; and
- d. bestow honor and recognition to outstanding individuals, government and non-government organizations for their dedication, commitment and contribution to the universalization of literacy in their respective communities.

### **AWARDS CATEGORIES**

Outstanding Literacy Program Award. This shall be awarded to a literacy program being implemented by a non-government or civic organization, academic institution, which has evident positive impact on the learners and the community. The program should have contributed to the transformation of learners into productive and more responsible community members.

Outstanding Local Government Unit Award. This shall be awarded to a local government unit (city/municipal government) which has developed policies, programs and projects conducive to literacy development and which have efficaciously made a positive impact on the barangays and the quality of life of the people.

### **PROCEDURES**

### Distribution of Nomination Forms

The National Literacy Awards Selection Committee, through its National Secretariat, will distribute the application forms to the DepED regional offices nationwide and selected NGOs. These forms will be made available to all individuals and institutions, GOs, and NGOs who would like to nominate candidates.

### Information Awareness Campaign

The DepED Secretary shall issue an appropriate order requiring all divisions and district supervisors to disseminate the information regarding the awards.

Concerned non-government organizations will be requested to inform their members nationwide of the contest.

A nationwide tri-media campaign will be launched to create greater public awareness and participation.

## Regional Selection Committee

## Step I - Organization of Regional Selection Committee (RSC)

A Regional Selection Committee shall be organized as follows:

Chairperson

Dept. of Education, Regional Director

Co-Chairperson -

Dept. of the Interior and Local

Government, Regional Director

Members

Phil. Information Agency, Regional Director

National Economic and Development

Authority, Regional Director

Academe, President

Coordinator

DepED Regional Chief, ALS Division

Note: The RSC Chairperson shall vote only to break a tie.

The Coordinator must be able to document all stages of the selection process for submission to the LCC Secretariat together with entry documents. The Coordinator shall have no voting capacity.

## Step II- Selection

The RSC shall evaluate all entries for the five categories submitted by the different school divisions. It shall review the documents submitted and select the top three nominees per category (using the prescribed individual score sheets) from which it will choose the final regional nominee/finalist for each category.

## Step III - Project Site Visit

The RSC shall conduct on-site validation of the top three nominees/ finalists for further verification. Interviews with concerned publics shall likewise be conducted in project sites.

## Step IV - Selection of Final Regional Nominee/Finalist

The RSC shall select and submit individual rankings for the three regional nominees / finalists for each category. Ranking shall be processed and the entry with the highest ranking (no. 1) shall be chosen as the regional entry to vie for the national awards.

## Step V - Submission of Regional Entries

The RSC shall submit the regional entries to the LCC Secretariat according to specifications on or before the close of office hours on May 6, 2010. Entries submitted beyond due date shall not be accepted and shall be considered disqualified.

Likewise, the following must be included in the documents:

- Individual score sheets of RSC members duly signed by the rater and countersigned by the RSC Chairperson.
- Documentation of all stages of the selection process signed by the Coordinator and countersigned by the Chairperson.

### **National Selection Process**

Step I The Board of Judges (BOJs) shall be organized composed of not more than three members per category.

Step II The BOJs shall review the entries and short list or select the top five nominees for each category from which the final winners shall be chosen.

Step III The BOJs shall meet to discuss the strong and weak points of short listed entries and come-up with top five nominees to be visited.

Step IV The BOJs shall conduct on-site validation of selected project sites for further verification. Interviews with nominees/finalists, project managers, beneficiaries, community members, local officials, etc. shall likewise be conducted.

The BOJs shall choose the three best entries based on their findings during visitations as the final top three national winners per category (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>) and endorse the recommendation to the Council. They shall keep their findings strictly confidential.

Step V LCC officials shall declare and award the winners during the celebration of National Literacy Week (September 8-11).

For further inquiries, you may address any questions/clarifications to:

### DR. NORMA L. SALCEDO

Head, Literacy Coordinating Council

Department of Education

4th Floor, Mabini Building, DepED Complex, Meralco Avenue, Pasig City

Tel. Nos.: 631-05-67; 635-99-96 Telefax: 631-05-90; 631-05-79

# 2010 NATIONAL LITERACY AWARDS OUTSTANDING LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT; HIGHLY URBANIZED IDEPENDENT COMPONENT AND COMPONENT CITIES, MUNICIPALITY LEVEL CLASS A AND B

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Factors for Evaluation	Rating (Percentage, %)
A. Planning and Development	15%
1. Literacy Profile	5
<ul> <li>Literacy mapping which includes background</li> </ul>	
information on total number of barangays and	
population and number of illiterates per barangay	
(male/female, age, specific groups if any such as	
Indigenous People, street children and adults, etc.)	
2. Policies	5
Ordinances and resolutions to promote literacy	-
3. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans	5
<ul> <li>Provision on literacy program as part of the</li> </ul>	
Comprehensive Development Plan and/or Annual	
Investment Plan	
B. Project Management and Implementation	40%
1. Projects	10
<ul> <li>LGU-initiated literacy projects (basic literacy e.g.</li> </ul>	
reading, writing, numeracy; functional literacy e.g.	
entrepreneurship/literacy cum livelihood; environmental,	
cultural, agricultural, musical, and computer literacy;	
values development; etc.)	9
	1 (3)
2. Implementation	10
<ul> <li>Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings,</li> </ul>	
radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign,	i
and collaborative networking	
<ul> <li>Responsiveness to community needs</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning</li> </ul>	
centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic	
and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high	
outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers	

Factors for Evaluation	Rating (Percentage, %
3. Monitoring and Evaluation	10
Status report	
• Publications and research studies	
Documentation and records keeping	5
4. Organizational Structure and Management	10
Designation of literacy coordinators and facilitators	
employed by LGUs	
<ul> <li>Presence of active local LCCs in city/municipality/</li> </ul>	
barangay	
. Impact (Individual or Collective/Community)	45%
Actual improvement in literacy rate/increased number of	* ×
literates	15
<ul> <li>Improved economic status/development in the</li> </ul>	*
municipality	15
• Improvement in terms of health and nutrition, sanitation,	
and hygiene; environmental protection (e.g. waterways,	15
wasto disposal)	
TOTAL=	100%

## 2010 NATIONAL LITERACY AWARDS OUTSTANDING LITERACY PROGRAM

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

A. Planning and Development  1. Literacy Profile  • Literacy mapping which includes background information on total number of illiterates served (male/female, age, specific groups if any such as Indigenous People, street children, adults, etc.)  2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans  • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  B. Project Implementation  35%  1. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches  • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice  • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation  • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  • Responsiveness to community needs  • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  • Status report	age, %
• Literacy mapping which includes background information on total number of illiterates served (male/female, age, specific groups if any such as Indigenous People, street children, adults, etc.)  2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  3. Project Implementation  3. Project Implementation  3. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
• Literacy mapping which includes background information on total number of illiterates served (male/female, age, specific groups if any such as Indigenous People, street children, adults, etc.)  2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  3. Project Implementation  3. Project Implementation  3. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
information on total number of illiterates served (male/female, age, specific groups if any such as Indigenous People, street children, adults, etc.)  2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  3. Project Implementation  3. Project Implementation  3. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
(male/female, age, specific groups if any such as Indigenous People, street children, adults, etc.)  2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  35%  3. Project Implementation 35%  3. Project Implementation 35%  3. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
Indigenous People, street children, adults, etc.)  2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  35%  3. Project Implementation 35%  1. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
2. Goals, Objectives and Development Plans  • Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  35%  35%  Project Implementation  35%  1. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches  • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice  • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation  • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  • Responsiveness to community needs  • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  • Status report	
Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  Project Implementation  Program manifests relevant and effective approaches  At least two program sectors/themes with good practice  Organizational support mechanism  Implementation  Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  Responsiveness to community needs  Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding, high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation  Status report	
Provision on literacy program as part of the organizational and institutional plans  Project Implementation  Program manifests relevant and effective approaches  At least two program sectors/themes with good practice  Organizational support mechanism  Implementation  Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  Responsiveness to community needs  Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation  Status report	
organizational and institutional plans  35%  35%  Project Implementation  35%  10  At least two program sectors/themes with good practice  Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation  Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  Responsiveness to community needs  Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  Status report	
35%  Project Implementation  10  • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
1. Program manifests relevant and effective approaches  • At least two program sectors/themes with good practice  • Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation  • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  • Responsiveness to community needs  • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  • Status report	
At least two program sectors/themes with good practice Organizational support mechanism  Implementation Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking Responsiveness to community needs Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation Status report	
At least two program sectors/themes with good practice Organizational support mechanism  Implementation Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking Responsiveness to community needs Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation Status report	
• Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
• Organizational support mechanism  2. Implementation • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking • Responsiveness to community needs • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
Organizational support mechanism  Implementation Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking Responsiveness to community needs Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation Status report	
2. Implementation  • Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  • Responsiveness to community needs  • Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  • Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  • Status report	
<ul> <li>Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking</li> <li>Responsiveness to community needs</li> <li>Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners</li> <li>Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&amp;E passers</li> <li>3. Monitoring and Evaluation</li> <li>Status report</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Advocacy and awareness e.g. barangay forums/meetings, radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking</li> <li>Responsiveness to community needs</li> <li>Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners</li> <li>Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&amp;E passers</li> <li>3. Monitoring and Evaluation</li> <li>Status report</li> </ul>	
radio/tv broadcasting, flyers, house to house campaign, collaborative networking  Responsiveness to community needs Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation Status report	
collaborative networking  Responsiveness to community needs  Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation  Status report	
<ul> <li>Responsiveness to community needs</li> <li>Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners</li> <li>Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&amp;E passers</li> <li>3. Monitoring and Evaluation</li> <li>Status report</li> </ul>	
Program reach e.g. diverse mix of learners  Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  Monitoring and Evaluation  Status report	
Program quality e.g. well equiped community learning centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  Status report  10	
centers; competent and devoted facilitators; systematic and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation • Status report	
and regular literacy classes; sustained funding; high outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  • Status report	
outcomes such as high percentage of A&E passers  3. Monitoring and Evaluation  • Status report	
• Status report	
• Status report	
Publications and research studies	
Documentation and records keeping	
4. Organizational Structure and Management 5	
Designation of coordinators and facilitators for literacy	
programs	

Factors for Evaluation	Rating (Percentage, %)
. Management and Leadership	15%
1 P	5
1. Resource generation, networking and social mobilization	
• Advocacy and social mobilization schemes	1
Budget and financial statements	1
Number of donors/benefactors; description of activities,	1
level of participation and extent of networking	1
Minutes of at least three meetings during the period under review	
under review	84
2. Program sustainability and institutionalization	5
(Program continues even if there is change in leadership)	
Sustainability and institutionalization plan	
(to include evidences; board resolutions, policies, etc.)	2
3. Leadership qualities	5
Creativity, flexibility and resourcefulness	
• Smooth interpersonal relations	100
Critical judgement and decision-making	
Competence (Knowledge, Expertise, Experience)	
Competence (Knowledge, Expertise, Experience)	2
D. Effects of Program on Learners and the Community	40%
	*
1. Attendance and completion rates of learners	10
(Select one only)	12
• Poor (0% to 29%)	
Satisfactory (30% to 49%)	
• Good (50% to 75%)	
<ul> <li>Very Good (76% and above)</li> </ul>	
2. Increased number of learners	
List of program beneficiaries within the last three years	10
List of program beneficiaries within the last three years	
3. Improved economic and social status of the learner and	15
the community	
<ul> <li>Health and nutrition; hygiene and sanitation</li> </ul>	1
<ul> <li>Livelihood and other income-producing activities</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Active participation in community activities</li> </ul>	
4. Environmental awareness	5

### 2010 Guidelines for the National Literacy Awards Selection Process

### I. Selection Committee

A Regional Selection Committee (RSC) composed of the following shall be organized:

Chairperson

Department of Education, Regional Director

Co-Chairperson

Department of the Interior and Local Government

Regional Director

Members

Philippine Information Agency, Regional Director

National Economic and Development Authority

Regional Director Academe, President

Coordinator/

DepED Regional Chief, ALS Division

The Literacy Coordinating Council shall provide information on the functions and responsibilities of the RSC. This shall be discussed by the RSC chairperson with its members. The chairperson shall give background information on the criteria for selection and the timetable of activities.

## II. Documentary Requirements

Nominees to the NLA should submit the required documents strictly in accordance with the following specifications:

- A clear, simple, straight forward and understandable Executive Summary of not more than five (5) pages: A-4 bond size, double-spaced, describing the nominated program or local government unit based on the set criteria.
- Three (3) copies of the nominee's folio/entry of not more than fifty (50) pages, including photographs (size: 3" x 5", not to exceed 20 pieces; video documentation is not encouraged); A-4 bond, double-spaced containing only related documents and data in accordance with the category and criteria but limited to those in effect within two (2) years prior to the date of entry.
- Electronic copy of nominee's folio/entry including photographs for uploading in the LCC website (http://:lcc.deped.gov.ph).
- Individual score sheets of RSC members duly signed by the rater and countersigned by the RSC Chairperson.
- Brief but complete documentation of all stages of the selection process signed by the Coordinator/Secretary and countersigned by the Chairperson.

### III. Entries/Nominees

To qualify as nominee for the NLA, entries in all categories must already be in existence for at least three (3) years. The categories are:

### a. Literacy Program

Only literacy programs initiated by NGOs, private educational institutions, people's organizations, academe and socio-civic groups may be nominated.

If a government agency/line agency's mandate or its main function involves the management, implementation and sustainability of literacy programs and projects, such agency can not be nominated under the program category. However, if such government agency initiates/implements literacy programs supplementary to its regular government function, a SPECIAL CITATION shall be given by the LCC during awarding ceremonies.

Likewise, outstanding literacy advocates shall be given due recognition and citation for their stewardship of their literacy program/project.

#### b. Local Government Units

The local government unit (LGU) shall be the nominee. The leadership of the local chief executive may be duly noted and recognized, but the efforts of the LGU must be the main consideration.

Focus should be on the literacy development efforts of the various sectors: agriculture, social services, environmental, infrastructure, and other groups (youth, elderly, women's, IPs, specially-abled persons, etc.).

To be fair in the assessment of the LGUs' efforts in promoting literacy and continuing education, the Outstanding LGU level category shall be subdivided into:

- 1. Highly Urbanized Cities
- 2. Independent Component and Component Cities
- 3. 1<sup>st</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> Class Municipalities
- 4. 4th to 6th Class Municipalities

This ensures equal and fair judging since some cities/municipalities have greater means and more resources for the development, implementation, and sustainability of literacy programs as compared to others of lower classification.

### IV. Hall of Fame Award

First place winners who maintain the rank for three (3) years are eligible for the HALL OF FAME (HOF) award. Starting in 2001-2008, three (3) times first place winners will likewise be eligible for the HOF award. Subsequently, HOF awardees will not be eligible to join the regular contest.

### V. Monitoring

HOF awardees shall be subject to monitoring and evaluation by the Council.

A Special Award of Excellence may be extended by the Council to any city or municipality that is evidently deserving of such Award upon strong recommendation by the evaluating team.

## 2010 National Literacy Awards Timetable of Activities

December 9, 2009 : Workshop on the revision of the NLA criteria and

guidelines for selection

December 15 : Presentation of the revised criteria and

guidelines for selection to the Council

January 22, 2010 : Issuance of NLA DepEd Memorandum

January 25-March 25 : Information campaign (country wide: local and national)

Regional orientation by the LCC Secretariat

March 26-April 26 : Regional search (by division)

April 29 : Announcement of regional winners

May 6 : Deadline for submission of regional entries to national

LCC Secretariat

: Preparation of documents/materials for the briefing of

the Board of Judges (BOJs)

May 13-14 : Initial assessment/review of regional entries by

LCC Secretariat

May 20 : Organization/briefing of the BOJs

May 21-June 21 : Shortlisting of entries by the BOJs

June 23 : Initial deliberation meeting of the BOJs and

LCC Secretariat

June 28-August 5 : Ocular visits of BOJs to five (5) shortlisted entries

August 6 : Final deliberation of winners by BOJs

August 12 : Report on national winners to the Council

August 1-30 : Preparation for 2010 NLC/NLA

September 8-11 : National Literacy Conference and Awards