# Republika ng Pilipinas (Fepublic of the Philiprinas) MINISTRI NG EDUKASYON AT KULTUFA (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE) Maynila

March 15, 1982

MEC DEMORANDUM No. 89. s, 1982

SEARCH FOR THE OUTSTAFDING SCHOOL-COMMUNITY DEUC ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL PROJECT

To: Pureau Linectors
Fegional Directors
Schools Superintendents
Presidents, State Colleges and Universities
Heads of Private Schools, Colleges and Universities
Vocational School Superintendents/Administrators

- 1. The Intensified School-Pased Community-Oriented Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Program is being implemented in Regions I, III. IV, VI, and VII and the National Capital Region which were given priority in view of the high incidence of drug abuse in these areas.
- 2. A regional corps of trained coordinator-trainers composed of selected regional and division school personnel are expected to be conducting training programs for key teachers who will coordinate and spearhead the implementation of the program in their respective districts/municipalities and school-communities.
- 3. To facilitate the transfer of program leadership from the school to the community leaders, it is expected that linkages be established with other government, non-government and religious, socio-civic agencies.
- 4. For this year the suggested timetable for implementation is as follows:

## Pre-Implementation (January-February)

- a. Planning
- b. Budgeting
- c. National training of regional corps of coordinator-trainors
- d. Gathering baseline data

# Implementation (March-Lecember)

a. Training of district coordinatorimplementors (key teachers)

- b. Utilization of appropriate drug abuse prevention strategies for various elienteld groups (a.g., alternative activities for the youth, information and education for corrunity people, parent education, (tc.)
- e. Monitoring for formative essessment of the project leithe Netional Task Torce, Periodal Drug Abuse Prevention Committee, Division Drug Abuse Prevention Committee and Sistrict Committee.

## Evaluation (September-December)

- a. Conduct post evaluation measures
- b. Conduct Fernal for the Outstanding School-Community Drug Mixts Provention and Control Project.
- 5. Divisions, districts and achool-communities are enjoined to fully implement the Intercafied Cohool-Fased Community-Oriented Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Program. Those is regions not yet covered by the program are encouraged to undertake measures to check the rising incidence of drug chuse among our children and youth.
- 6. Attention is invited to IEC Memorandum No. 196, s. 1981 and MEC Memorandum No. 15. c. 1982 for the guidelines for program implementation and other pertinent information.
- 7. Inclosed are the guidelines and implementing rules for the Search for the Cutstanding School-Community Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Project

(SGD.) ONOFFE D. CORPUZ Minister

Incl.:

As stated

References:

MEC Memorandums: (Nos. 196. s. 1981 and 19, s. 1982)

Allotment: 1-2-3-4--(D.C. 1-76)

To be indicated in the Firstwal Index under the following subjects:

PUPEAUS C OFFICES
DRUG FDULATION
OFFICIALS

PROGRAM, SCHOOL TEACHERS

# CEARCH FOR THE OUTSTANDING SCHOOL-COMMUNITY DRUG APUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL PROJECT

(A Sub-Project of the Intensified School-Rased Community-Crichted Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Program)

#### I. RATIONALE

The problem of drug abuse is a multi-sectoral concern. Although the probable victims are the children and youth, the problem is not the sole responsibility of the school. However, such thrust as this is usually placed on the teachers' shoulders to work out a solution.

The Cognel for the Cutstanding School-Community True Abuse Prevention and Control Project is primarily an evaluation tool to
assess the impact, officiarcy and effectiveness of the Intensified
School-Based Community-Chierted Drug Abuse Prevention and Control
Program. It is also expected to help motivate program personnel
to implement the program activities with full force and vigor. Hopefully, this will contribute to the successful attainment of program
objectives.

## II. OBJECTIVET

This sub-project aims to determine the impact, efficiency and effectiveness of the program.

Specifically, it is expected to motivate program implementors to manifest enthusiasm, leadership and deeper commitment to the implementation of the program and give recognition to program personnel's afforts.

## III. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project will involve the schools and communities in Regions I. III, IV, VI, VII and the National Capital Region. It will assess the extent to which the program is implemented, the linkages established with tri-sectoral agencies in the community, the involvement/participation of youths and parents and the transfer of leadership in program planning, implementation and evaluation to community leaders.

To delimit the area to be evaluated by the National Fvaluating Team, it is suggested that a preliminary search be conducted at two levels:

Division level. - One Outstanding School Community Drug Abuse
Prevention and Control Project will be
selected by the Division Fvaluating Team.
This will be submitted to the Regional Drug
Pluse Prevention Committee.

Regional level - One Outstanding School-Community Drug Abuse
Prevention and Control Project in the region
will be selected by the Regional Evaluating
Team and submitted to the National Task Force
(The Project Officer, NEC-DDR-UNESCO Special
Project on Drug Abuse Prevention and Control,
UEC, Arroceros, Manila).

The Outstanding School-Community Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Project in the regions will be evaluated by the National Evaluating Team composed of representatives from the Ministry of Education and Culture, the Dangerous Drugs Foard and another ministry, agency to be decided on leter. From the regional winners will be selected the first second and third Outstanding School-Community Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Projects. Tiqure 1 shows the flow in the selection of winners:

Division Level	District 1	District 2	: District :
		**************************************	n:
Regional Level	Pinning District/ : Punicated it : : Division 3 : :	:Winning District/ : : Punicipality: : : Division 2 :	Vinning District/: : Municipality: : : Division n:
National	Winning District/: : Municipality: : : Region I	:Winning District/: : Municipality: : : Region III :	Winning District/: : Municipality: : Region IV :
Level	Winning District/: : Nunicipality : : Region VI :	Winning District/: : Municipality : : Region VII :	:Winning District/: : National Capital : Region :

This project is an important tool in getting the support and enthusiasm of the community in the total efforts to prevent and control drug abuse. It can also lend support to the government's KKK program inasmuch as the youth organizations and other target groups are encouraged to undertake income-generating projects supportive of the goal of the Kilusang Kaunlaran at Kabuhayan program.

IV. GUIDELINES AND IMPLEMENTING RULES FOR THE SELECTION OF THE OUTSTAND-ING SCHOOL-COMMUNITY DECC APART PREVENTION AND CONTROL PROJECT

# A. Implementaré Tules

1. The Search for the Cutstanding School-Community Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Project is open to Regions I, III. IV. VI, VII and the National Capital Region.

	2.	The rinners will be decided by a National Evaluating Team based on the following:
		/
		a. Results of an ocular inspection/evaluation of the vinning School Community Frag Abuse Prevention and Control Project in each region.
		b. Interview of randomly selected officials, parents, youths, other chientels in the migrics entries.
		<ul> <li>C. Quanterly reports (supported with document /pictures) submitted by the division coordinator-trainors duly attested by the School Superinterdent, the Regional Coordinator-Trainors and the Regional Director.</li> </ul>
		d. Assessment (in app) or the monitoring team on its routine vasit to the field.
	3.	The timetable for judging the winning of or of each region is September 15-lovember 1 1932, hence, never of regional winning entries and accompanying documents/pictures should be submitted before September 15 to the Project Officer, MEC-DDB-UNFSCO Special Project on Drug /Puse Prevention Education, MEC, Arrocerot, manila.
	1.4 .	The decision of the National Evaluating Team shall be final and unapplicable.
3.	(r	iteria
	1.	Functionality of Action Program
		A. Timeliness and relevance of officetives and activities 5
		b. Practical ality of timetable - 2
		c. Lifectiveness of monitoring/ evaluation scheme 3
,	2.	Rature and Artent of Activities/Projects Luitiated/Implemented/Organized (Indicate number and support with documents)
	-	a. Income-generating projects (KFK projects may be considered
		h. Arms and coast nonlividing (organizing outs and chafts classes, demonstration on hand coaft making artists'
		club, etc.)

	,	-	<b>i</b> 4				
	<b>C</b> ,	Recreational and cultu (sports clinic, litera musical programs, orga tion of lobby/interest etc.)	rviza-	, Ł <u>ę</u>			
	d.	Youth leaders' develop grams (leadership trail loy-qirl government of policeman/traffic ride	ming course. ficials	L!			
		Education and informatizing symposis, senirations clientele, radio- casts, use of case red etc.)	rs for var- TV broad-	- 4		,	
3	γ.χ.	tent of involvement of oviding leadership in partation	the following rogram plans:	r in ' ing a	terms nd imp	of le	. 20%
				740.	Ç.	g.s.	V.S.
	ъ. с. d. е. f.	Purents Out-of-school youths Government officials/a Non-covernment officials Socio-civic/religious Teachers/school admin: Fupils/students	els/agencies organization	s	Anthony Common	3 4 3 3 3 2 2	

Total

\*To obtain weighted score (%.S.), multiply given score:
(C.S.) by the percentage corresponding to the rellowing :
number of people:

· humber	/ Percentage
20-above	100%
35 ac	75
3.0- 3.4 <sub>0</sub> .	56
5- 9	. 25
3 - 4	5
. C	r. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	*

	r.	
	- 5 - MO. % G.S.	W.S.*
,	a. Parents	
	b. Out-of-school youths 4	
	c. Government officials/members	
	d. Non-government officials/members 3	
·	e. Socio-civic/religious organizations 3	
	f. Teachers/school administrators	
-	g. Pupils/students 2	
	Total	
• •		:
*		:
:	*To obtain weighted score, multiply given score by the	:
:	percentage corresponding to the following numbers:	:
. :		:
. :	Number Percentage	;
:		:
:	2 <del>09-</del> above 100%	
	1500	:
;	100 <b>50</b>	•
;	50 25	:
;	20 5	:
<u>:</u>		:
	a. Reduction of drug abuse problem and other cases of juvenile delinquency  b. Transfer of leadership to community leaders  c. People's awareness of drug abuse prevention and control efforts  d. Rechanneling of youths' energy towards productive activities  (including KKK projects)  e. Collaboration/coordination with tri-sectoral agencies	
	f. Youths assumming leadership in different community activities - 4	·
. (	C. Awards and Frizes	
	1. As an incentive to field program personnel, the following prizes will be given to the winning municipalities/dist	ng ricts:
	First prize	

The cash prizes shall be utilized for further implementation of the program in the municipality district.

2. Plaques of Merit will be given to the Pegional Director, Regional and Division Coordinator-Trainors, Schools Superintendent, District Supervisor, Governor/City Mayor, Municipal Mayor, District Coordinator-Implementors of the national winner (first place).

Certificates of Merit will be given to the same personnel of the second and third place winners.

- 3. The regional and division coordinator-trainors of the three winning entries will be invited to receive their prizes and the plaques/certificates of merit of their colleagues at awarding ceremonies to be held in Manila during the celebration of the National Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Week in November 1982.
- 4. Other prizes for the Pegional Coordinator-Trainors will be announced later pending further arrangements/agreements with the sponsoring agency.